



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*Ken*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,444	08/26/2005	Jochen Thomas	I431.123.101/FIN 393 PCT/	2401
45782	7590	04/11/2007	EXAMINER	
DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC			CLARK, SHEILA V	
FIFTH STREET TOWERS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 SOUTH FIFTH STREET			2823	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS	04/11/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/519,444	THOMAS ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	S. V. Clark	2823	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 28-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 44-54 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 28-31, 33, 41, 55 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 32, 34-40, 42, 43 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-31, 33, 41 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuttle et al.

Tuttle et al teaches in for example figure 1 an electronic component 10 having a multilayered rewiring carrier plate 28 and a magnetic memory chip 12 (col. 3, first two lines.). rewiring lines 18 connect the contact pads on the top surface of the chip to external contacts 20. Said rewiring plate has at least one patterned foil layer 28 made of amorphous metal (see col. 4, lines 30-38 and last three lines of col. 4, and col.5, first 6 lines).

Since the claim recites "connects" but fails to specify an electrical or mechanical connection, said rewiring lines are deemed to be at least obviously mechanically connected to said pads. These features are also applicable to claim 55.

With respect to claim 29, since said chip related to magnetic memory cells is discussed in col. 1, lines 14-25.

With respect to claim 30, said memory chip would be obviously deemed to be a logic device.

With regard to claim 31, col.5, line 7 teaches shielding foil thickness in the range of that recited in the claim.

With regard to claim 33, use of cobalt materials are taught.

With regard to claim 41, said shield is shown formed on the rear side of the chip.

Claims 28-31, 33, 41, 55 are rejected.

Claims 32, 34-40, 42, 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 44-54 are considered allowable over the prior art cited on interest.

Applicant's arguments are considered moot in view of the fore noted ground of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to S. V. Clark at telephone number (571) 272-1725.

  
S. V. Clark  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2823

March 31, 2007